$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{k_{\rm H}} \\ \overline{k_{\rm D}} \end{pmatrix}_{t} = \frac{1}{(t_{2} - t_{1})} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \left(\frac{k_{\rm H}}{k_{\rm D}} \right)_{t} dt = \frac{4k_{\rm H}}{(A + B)(k_{\alpha} - k_{t})(t_{2} - t_{1})} \times \\ \ln \left[\frac{(A + B) + (3A - B)e^{-(k\alpha - k_{t})t_{2}}}{(A + B) + (3A - B)e^{-(k\alpha - k_{t})t_{1}}} \right] + \frac{4k_{\rm H}}{(A + B)}$$
(4)

and Wong^{2b} for 2-*d*-II), we have calculated the values of $(\overline{k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}})_t$ through one and three half-lives of solvolysis and at $t = \infty$ for 6-endo-d-I and for 6-exo-d-I. assuming that there is no isotope effect for D at C-1, C-6, and C-6' (entries 1 and 5, Table II). Clearly, even at infinite time, $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})_t$ does not attain the measured values of 1.09 and 1.11. If a more realistic extent of scrambling were used, the calculated isotope effects through one and three half-lives would be even lower.^{8,9} If, in addition to $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D2} = 1.20$, one takes an isotope effect at C-1 of 1.10, 11 $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})_t$ still falls short of the measured values (entries 2 and 6, Table II). It is necessary to take $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D2} = 1.20$ and two other isotope effects of 1.10 (or the equivalent of this combination) to achieve agreement with the acetolysis experiments (e.g., entries 3 and 4). The aqueous ethanolysis data impose further restrictions. If one supposes that only one C-H bond at C-6 exhibits an isotope effect, then (e.g., entries 7 and 8) the calculated average value through three half-lives is 1.10 for 6-exo-d-I, but it is at most 1.040 for 6-endo-d-I (entry 8).12

We conclude that an isotope effect of 1.10 ± 0.02 (within the limits of our present precision) must be operative for D at both sites, C-6 and C-6', to account for the behavior of brosylates I in aqueous ethanol; and because solvent effects on secondary isotope effects are known to be small,13 these same isotope effects must operate in acetolysis. Furthermore, the major contribution to the isotope effect must necessarily be on the ionization of the brosylate.14 We plan to determine these isotope effects by precision conductance to measure any difference between the exo and endo positions at C-6.

Our observed γ -isotope effects for the *endo*-brosylates II are those expected of a transition state for ionization to a classical cation.¹⁶ However, the extraordinarily

(11) Note that, for a transition state to a classical ion, the isotope effect at the bridgehead, C-1, is expected to be small or inverse [V. J. Shiner and J. S. Humphrey, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 2416 (1963)].

(12) The magnitude of the discrepancy may be seen by calculation of the value of $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D2}$ necessary to attain the observed $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})_t$ through three half-lives if there were no isotope effects at C-1, C-6, and C-6'. This requires (1) $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D2}) \ge 1.50$ for actolysis; (2) $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D2}) > 4$ for aqueous ethanolysis; and (3) an upward drift of *ca*. 10% in $(k_{\rm D})_t$ (13) G. J. Frisone and E. R. Thornton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86, 1900

(1964)

(14) The accepted scheme for solvolysis of exo-norbornyl brosylate is

$$R-X \xrightarrow{k_1}_{k_{-1}} R^+ X^- \xrightarrow{k_2} R^+ i |X^- \xrightarrow{k_3} \text{ product}$$

Product forms inevitably from solvent-separated ion pair.6b The fraction, F, of intimate ion pairs that suffers dissociation is $k_2/(k_2 + k_{-1})$. There can be no isotope effect on F if there is no isotope effect on k_1 . Even with an isotope effect on k_1 , isotope effects on F are expected to be negligible. 15

(15) J. G. Evans and G. Y. S. Lo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 2118 (1966).

large γ -isotope effects on the solvolysis of 6-exo-d-I and 6-endo-d-I are not expected on the basis of the "classical transition state" hypothesis, at least in its present form. 19,19a

(16) For example, the reported γ -isotope effect on acetolysis of III is $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}) = 1.00$ for six deuterium atoms,¹⁷ and other reported γ isotope effects are slightly inverse.18

(CD₃)₂CHCHCH₃

OTs Ш

(17) S. Winstein and J. Takahashi, Tetrahedron, 2, 316 (1958).

(18) K. T. Leffek, J. A. Llewellyn, and R. E. Robertson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 6315 (1960); S. Borčić, M. Nikoletic and D. E. Sunko, ibid., 84, 1615 (1962).

(19) H. C. Brown, Chem. Brit., 2, 199 (1966). (19a) NOTE ADDED IN PROOF. Torsional effects in the norbornyl (19a) NOTE ADDED IN PROF. Torsional effects in the norbornyl system as recently discussed (P. von R. Schleyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 699, 701 (1967)) would not account for our isotope effects at C-6.

(20) National Institutes of Health Predoctoral Fellow, 1962-1966.

(21) Du Pont Teaching Fellow, 1963-1965.

B. L. Murr, A. Nickon T. D. Swartz,²⁰ N. H. Werstiuk²¹ Department of Chemistry, The Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, Maryland 21218 Received January 30, 1967

Secondary Deuterium Kinetic Isotope Effects in Acetolysis of 2-Norbornyl-6-d Brosylates

Sir:

It has been proposed¹⁻⁴ that solvolyses of exo-2norbornyl brosylate, in contrast to the endo epimer, proceed with anchimeric assistance leading to a nonclassical norbornonium ion (V) in the rate-determining step. This view has been challenged by Brown, et al.5,6 According to these authors, the solvolysis rate of the exo-brosylate is not abnormally large, and the difference in rates between the two epimeric brosylates is to be sought in the slow reaction rate of the *endo*-brosylate. The cause of the latter deceleration is seen in the steric hindrance to ionization. The endo-6-hydrogen should, because of its vicinity, hinder the departure of the sulfonate ester group at C₂ from the same side of the molecule. On these grounds and owing to the smaller size of deuterium, it was predicted⁷ that the solvolysis of compound II would proceed with an inverse $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})$ < 1.00) kinetic isotope effect.

We now wish to report the acetolysis rate constants for compounds I-IV, which were prepared according to the procedure of Nickon, et al.8,9 The acetolysis rates were followed by continuous titration of the liberated acid by means of a pH-stat (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Model TTT1). Thus, complete rate curves with a very large number of points were obtained. Moreover, only a small amount of the sulfonate ester

(1) S. Winstein and D. S. Trifan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 71, 2953 (1949).

 S. winstein and D. S. Tiffan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 11, 255 (1949).
 J. D. Roberts and C. C. Lee, *ibid.*, 73, 5009 (1951).
 S. Winstein and D. S. Trifan, *ibid.*, 74, 1147, 1154 (1952).
 J. D. Roberts, C. C. Lee, and W. H. Saunders, Jr., *ibid.*, 76, 4501 (1954).

(5) H. C. Brown, F. J. Chloupek, and M.-H. Rei, ibid., 86, 1248 (1964).

(6) H. C. Brown and G. L. Tritle, *ibid.*, 88, 1320 (1966).
(7) H. C. Brown, Special Publication No. 16, The Chemical Society, London, 1962, p 140.

(8) A. Nickon, J. H. Hammons, J. L. Lambert, and R. O. Williams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 3713 (1963); A. Nickon, J. L. Lambert, R. O. Williams, and N. H. Werstiuk, *ibid.*, 88, 3354 (1966).

(9) B. L. Murr, A. Nickon, T. D. Swartz, and N. H. Werstiuk, ibid., 89, 1730 (1967).

was needed for a single run, allowing several repeated determinations of the rate constants and an accurate statistical analysis.

In our previous work^{10,11} it was suggested that the replacement of a hydrogen by deuterium at the 6



position has about the same retarding effect upon the acetolysis of the exo-2-norbornyl brosylate, regardless of whether the deuterium is exo or endo. It was, however, recognized that the internal rearrangement (occuring simultaneously with the acetolysis) of the brosylate would epimerize deuterium at the 6 position and could thus obscure the results. The method used for rate measurements in this work minimizes such complications. Thus, it was possible to measure very accurately instantaneous acetolysis rates in the initial stages of the reaction (by simple scale expansion on the recorder) before an important amount of deuterium epimerization due to internal return could have occurred. If the stereochemistry of deuterium at carbon 6 had a significant influence on solvolysis rate, an increasing or decreasing trend in the isotope effect should have been observed.

The results, given in Tables I, II, and III, indicate (a) that acetolyses of both deuterated *endo*-2-norbornyl brosylates (I and II) proceed *without* a significant kinetic isotope effect and (b) that acetolyses of both deuterated *exo*-2-norbornyl brosylates (III and IV) proceed *with a similar* kinetic isotope effect, irrespective of the stereochemistry of deuterium.

These results are in perfect agreement with a ratedetermining formation of the norbornonium ion in the acetolysis of *exo*-2-norbornyl brosylate, but not of *endo*-2-norbornyl brosylate.¹⁻⁴ First, it is quite plausible that a participation of the C_1-C_6 bond electrons, such as formulated by V, could cause vibrational changes of the C_6 -H(D) bonds from which originate the kinetic isotope effects observed. Second, it is to be assumed that the transition states in the reactions of III and IV resemble the intermediate norbornonium ion.¹² In this cation the distinction between the *exo* and *endo* hydrogen (deuterium) at C₆ is lost. On these III and IV display about the same isotope effect upon acetolysis. In the solvolysis of *exo*-2-norbornyl brosylate the possibility of a C₆-H participation has been considered.^{2,4} The results reported herein do not support this hypothesis. Compared to cases where such a

participation was established,¹³ our measured isotope effects are much too small.¹⁰

The results obtained do not lend support to the expressed opinion of a steric hindrance to ionization in solvolysis of I and II.^{5,6} With respect to isotope effects the acetolysis of *endo*-2-norbornyl brosylate has to be considered as "normal." The prediction regarding the solvolysis rate of II⁴ has not been fulfilled.

Table I.Acetolysis Rate Constants of 6-Deuteratedendo-2-Norbornyl Brosylates at $65.0^{\circ a}$

| Compd | $k \times 10^{5}$, sec ^{-1b} | $k_{\rm H}/k_{ m D}{}^{b}$ |
|--|---|---|
| H I ^c II ^d | $5.30 \pm 0.04 5.19 \pm 0.05 5.31 \pm 0.03$ | $\begin{array}{c} 1.021 \pm 0.012 \\ 0.998 \pm 0.009 \end{array}$ |

^a Acetic acid containing 1% acetic anhydride. ^b Uncertaintie are standard errors. ^c Prepared from the corresponding ketone containing 67% monodeuterated molecules (determined by mass spectroscopy). ^d Prepared from the corresponding ketone containing 84.5% monodeuterated molecules (determined by mass spectroscopy).

Table II. Acetolysis Rate Constants of 6-Deuterated exo-2-Norbornyl Brosylates at 44.4°^a

| Compd $k \times 10^4$, sec ^{-1b} | | $k_{ m H}/k_{ m D}{}^b$ | $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D} {\rm cor}^{b,d}$ | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| H III¢ H/ | 8.98 ± 0.08 8.18 ± 0.03 9.58 ± 0.02 | 1.097 ± 0.011 | 1.149 ± 0.016 | | |
| IV ^{e, f} | 8.86 ± 0.07 | 1.082 ± 0.009 | 1.097 ± 0.011 | | |

 a^{-c} See corresponding footnotes in Table 1. ^{*d*} Corrected to 1 atom of deuterium/molecule. ^{*e*} Prepared from the corresponding ketone containing 82% monodeuterated molecules (determined by mass spectroscopy). ^{*f*} Slightly different experimental conditions for rate measurements were used: acetic acid containing 0.7% acetic anhydride and rates at 44.3°.

Table III. Instant Isotope Effects in Acetolysis of 6-Deuterated exo-2-Norbornyl Brosylates at 44.4°^a

| Compd | % solvolysis completion ¹ | $k_{ m H}/k_{ m D}^{b}$ |
|---|---|---|
| III ^e | 2.4 4.8 7.1 9.3 11.5 13.7 2.6 | $\begin{array}{c} 1.106 \pm 0.018 \\ 1.089 \pm 0.010 \\ 1.104 \pm 0.009 \\ 1.097 \pm 0.008 \\ 1.095 \pm 0.007 \\ 1.096 \pm 0.007 \\ 1.096 \pm 0.007 \\ 1.084 \pm 0.010 \end{array}$ |
| 1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 5.1 7.6 10.0 12.3 14.6 | $\begin{array}{c} 1.084 \pm 0.019 \\ 1.084 \pm 0.014 \\ 1.077 \pm 0.013 \\ 1.076 \pm 0.012 \\ 1.076 \pm 0.011 \\ 1.078 \pm 0.011 \end{array}$ |

 a^{-c} See corresponding footnotes in Table I. ^d See footnote e, Table II. ^e See footnote f, Table II. ^f It was estimated that less than 5% of the total added brosylate could have solvolyzed before the measurements were started.

While the work reported here was in progress, we learned that research along very similar lines was being performed by Professors A. Nickon and B. L. Murr

(13) S. Winstein and J. Takahashi, Tetrahedron, 2, 316 (1958).

⁽¹⁰⁾ K. Humski, S. Borčić, and D. E. Sunko, Croat. Chem. Acta, 37, 3 (1965).

⁽¹¹⁾ S. Borčić, V. Belanić-Lipovac, and D. E. Sunko, *ibid.*, **33**, 35 (1961).

⁽¹²⁾ G. S. Hammond, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 77, 334 (1955).

at The Johns Hopkins University. An exchange of comments and experimental data greatly helped us in our work, which is fully acknowledged. The very similar results obtained by their group⁹ and ours using different experimental procedures is a good check on the accuracy of the data.

Joško M. Jerkunica, Stanko Borčić, Dionis E. Sunko Institute Rudjer Bošković Zagreb, Yugoslavia Received January 30, 1967

A Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Study of $OH \cdots \pi$ Hydrogen Bonding¹

Sir:

Jackman² has stated that "because of the magnitude of the shifts associated with hydrogen bonding, nuclear magnetic resonance will doubtless prove to be a valuable alternative to infrared spectroscopy for the investigation of structural features which permit such an interaction." Intramolecular $OH \cdots \pi$ hydrogen bonding has been aptly demonstrated in the infrared,^{3a} but limited study of such interactions has been extended to nmr.^{3b} The initial results on an nmr study of the hydrogen-bonding tendencies of 13 norbornanols are summarized in Table I. During the course of this research lower fields than 1 and 2, presumably due to the inductive effect of phenyl. This effect falls off with distance as shown by comparison of 3 and 4 with 5.

(2) Phenyl-substituted secondary alcohols which are incapable of intramolecular $OH \cdots \pi$ bonding show slopes related to dimer-monomer equilibria which are equal to and greater than those for the unsubstituted parent compounds. The values of 30, 42, and 58 for 4, 3, and 5, respectively, suggest an order of decrease in steric factors which presumably raises the energy difference between the monomeric and dimeric species.⁵

(3) Particularly noteworthy are the γ_0 positions for 7-10, all of which show essentially complete bonding to the benzene ring in their infrared spectra at infinite dilution. The γ_0 's all occur at higher fields relative to those incapable of such interactions. In addition, while 7, 9, and 10 show approximately equivalent $\Delta \mu$'s indicative of the strength of the OH $\cdots \pi$ bond, their γ_0 's occur at quite different fields, the magnitudes of the differences being reflected by $\sigma_{\rm H}$.

(4) All secondary alcohols which demonstrate complete $OH \cdots \pi$ bonding in the infrared exhibit very small slopes relative to the other secondary alcohols. The values range from 2.8 to 5.4 and reflect a reduction in the energy difference between the monomer and dimer. That this reduction in energy is due to both the intramolecular interaction lowering the energy of the mono-

Table I. Hydrogen Bonding Data for Some Norbornanols

| Compd | Norbornanol ^a | $(\mathrm{d}\gamma/\mathrm{d}x)x = 0$ | γ_0 , ppm | Δ_1^b | $\sigma_{\mathbf{H}^c}$ | $\Delta \mu$, cm ⁻¹ d |
|-------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | exo- | 34 | 0.82 | | | |
| 2 | endo- | 33 | 0.83 | | | |
| 3 | 3-endo-Ph-2-exo- | 42 | 1.10 | 0.28 | | |
| 4 | 3-exo-Ph-2-endo- | 30 | 1.20 | 0.37 | | |
| 5 | 7-anti-Ph-2-exo- | 58 | 0.90 | 0.08 | | |
| 6 | 1-Ph-2-endo- | 12 | 1.14 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 19° |
| 7 | 1-Ph-2-exo- | 2.8 | 0.91 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 30° |
| 8 | 3-endo-Ph-2-endo- | 5.4 | 1.02 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 10 |
| 9 | 3-exo-Ph-2-exo- | 2.9 | 0,58 | -0.24 | 0.52 | 30 |
| 10 | 7-syn-Ph-2-exo | 4.0 | 0.26 | -0.56 | 0.64 | 28 |
| 11 | 2- <i>exo</i> -Ph- | 7.2 | 1.24 | 0.41 | | |
| 12 | 2-endo-Ph- | 11 | 1.30 | 0.48 | | |
| 13 | 1-Cyclohexyl-2-exo- | 17 | 0.81 | -0.01 | | |

^a The O-H resonance frequencies were determined for six to eight concentrations of alcohol ranging from *ca*. 0.10 to below 0.01 mole fraction. ^b Δ_1 is the difference between the O-H signal at infinite dilution and the O-H signal for the parent alcohol, 1 or 2. ^c $\sigma_{\rm H}$ is the difference between the O-H signal at infinite dilution and that observed for 3, 4, or 5. This was done to compensate for the inductive effect of phenyl. ^d Infrared. ^e D. C. Kleinfelter, Ph.D. Thesis, Princeton University, 1960, p 94.

it was learned in conversation with Collins and Benjamin that they too have observed the phenomenon to be discussed in one compound, 7-syn-phenylnorbornane-7-anti,2-exo-diol.⁴

A number of structural features related to inductive, steric, conformational, and π -interaction effects can be revealed by an examination of the magnitude of the slope $(d\gamma/dx)x = 0$ and the position of γ_0 . These are summarized as follows:

(1) Those bicyclic secondary alcohols incapable of intramolecular $OH \cdots \pi$ bonding have their γ_0 's at

(1) This research was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

mer and a steric effect raising the energy of the dimer was shown from the slope of 17 exhibited by 13, the 1-cyclohexyl analog of 7. Assuming that the bulk of a cyclohexyl group is larger than that of phenyl, then the $OH \cdots \pi$ bond in 7 causes at least a sixfold decrease in the slope.

The reasons for the relative equivalence of the $\Delta \mu$'s for alcohols 7, 9, and 10 and the variance of their γ_0 values stem from the differences between the infrared and nmr absorption processes. An examination of Dreiding models and measurements of both the approximate distance and the angle from the center of the benzene ring to the hydroxyl hydrogen aids in explaining these differences. The measurements are included in Table II.

In both the infrared and nmr the maximum interaction is experienced when the OH bond is oriented

(5) B. G. Somers and H. S. Gutowsky, ibid., 85, 3065 (1963).

Journal of the American Chemical Society | 89:7 | March 29, 1967

⁽²⁾ L. M. Jackman, "Applications of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry," Pergamon Press, London, 1959, p 68.

^{(3) (}a) P. von R. Schleyer, D. S. Trifan, and R. Bacskai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 6691 (1958); (b) M. Oki and H. Iwamura, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 35, 1552 (1962); R. J. Ouellette, D. L. Marks, and D. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 913 (1967).

⁽⁴⁾ C. J. Collins and B. M. Benjamin, ibid., 89, 1652 (1967).